

Sign up to join this community

PHYSICS

Is superconductance restricted to an extremely low temperature? Or can it be effectively replicated using some other technique? [closed]

 \bigtriangledown

|**=**-|

rise to the top

Anybody can ask a question 🕟 🛛 🗙

Anybody can answer

The best answers are voted up and



?

Log in

Sign up

Asked 11 months ago Modified 11 months ago Viewed 123 times

-5

Ð

Closed. This question needs details or clarity. It is not currently accepting answers.

Q Want to improve this question? Add details and clarify the problem by <u>editing this post</u>. Closed yesterday.

Improve this question

A type of electrical amplifier is proposed in which only magnetism and it's analogous current exists for most part. There is no voltage and no wattage (to speak of) in which electricity has to noticeably exhibit Ohms Law. Once connections are made to an outside appliance, voltage appears, because none of the junctions in and around the load are shorted with each other nor with ground. But all of the nodes of the power supply section of the circuit are shorted with each other. So there are only two nodes in the pow supply, the ground node on one terminal of the sine wave generator and the common node among all inductors on the other terminal of the sine wave generator.

Self shorting all of the nodes (in common with each other) eliminates the effectiveness of utilizing any diodes, capacitors, or spark gaps. Only inductors have any relevance in this type of circuit.

Since there is no electrical throughput except through the sine wave generator, mutual inductance has precisely tuned. And self inductance also has to be structured a certain way as shown below...

Given a pair of coils (#1), and another pair of coils (#2), and a single coil (#3), and the following mag couplings among them:

- Coupling #1 is between coils #1 and coils #2.
- Coupling #2 is between coils #1 and coil #3.
- Coupling #3 is between coils #2 and coil #3.
- Coupling #1 is greater than or equal to the golden ratio of 0.618... (e.g. 0.99).
- Coupling #2 is precisely the square root of the difference between unity and coupling #1: $\sqrt{(1-0.99)} = \sqrt{(0.01)} = 0.1$, or 10%
- Coupling #3 is precisely the cube of the difference between unity and coupling #1... ie, (1 0.99) $(0.01)^{3} = 0.000001$, or 0.0001%.

What are the chances of effectively replicating super conductance (without altering temperature shorting out all of the nodes among all of these five coils and connect this common node to the or of a sine wave generator operating at 1 µV and a frequency of 1 MHz?

I am assuming that the simulator environment (of a Micro-Cap circuit from Spectrum-Soft) is both theoretical and logical to assume that anything is possible within that environment and limited to that environment with no guarantees outside of that environment making it highly theoretical - not necessarily probable, nor possible - in the concrete world, but only guaranteed in the world of the abstract mathematics involved.

	Featured on Meta					
	Help us identify new roles for community members					
	Navigation and UI research starting soon					
	Related					
or the	2 What effect would liquid air have on a resonant coil?					
)1 t	7 Can low temperature plasma exist?					
ne ver	2 Am I properly identifying the resistor terminal voltage?					
of the	O Coupling mechanical to electrical energy in the domain of electric guitars					
7	2 Can we use quantities other than temperature to describe thermal equilibrium?					
to be	Hot Network Questions					
actic	😁 Can a GFCI have no ground?					
lettc	What is this symbol in LaTeX? And where do I get it?					
	How is FT8 modulated with both upper side band and FSK?					
	Lebian/Ubuntu - Is there a man page listing all the version codenames/numbers?					
	Simple N Puzzle console game					
	🛞 1980s short story - disease of self absorption					
	Convey different meanings of "badly", keeping the wordplay					
^3 =	What is the bulge on the back of my Smeg refrigerator?					
) bv	Does any country consider housing and food a right?					
itput	Why can I send 127.0.0.1 to 127.0.0.0 on my network?					
	DOE Advant Calandar 2022 (Dav 0): Interneticant					

- PSE Advent Calendar 2022 (Day 9): International Christmas Crossword
- Why do American universities cost so much?
- 🗔 "Friends, Romans, Countrymen...": A Translation

In the physical world, it's conjectural to assume it's probability if coils #1 are made of iron, coils #2 are made of copper, and coil #3 is made of aluminum?





It's nodal voltages are...



- Problem from Shakespeare's "Julius Caesar"
- What happens if you score more than 99 points in volleyball?
- 🚫 Received a 'behavior reminder' from manager. Not sure if it was just me or something she sent to the whole team
- Composite Pattern for putting on clothes
- Why does my working Add-on stop working each time I reopen Blender
- Why there is high variance of gradients estimated in the short directions in regression?
- Existence of extreme points in primal and dual LP
- How did muzzle-loaded rifled artillery solve the problems of the hand-held rifle?
- What I think the purpose of the life is is to live happily with our loved ones
- of What do the poles and zeros of a system physically represent?
- Should I give a brutally honest feedback on course evaluations?
- Is there a word to describe someone who is greedy in a non-economical way?

Ten milli seconds...



And lastly, 120 milli seconds (my computer couldn't allow anything longer without problems)...



Since resistance merely governs voltage drop, any impedance of high resistance will foster our habitual tendency to solve a problem by increasing the voltage. This is known as the Ferranti Effect. On the other hand, a purely reactant impedance can, actually, overcome resistance and begin to exhibit characteristics analogous to superconductivity if the frequency of reactance is high enough to overcome resistance per unit time. Raising the frequency of a sine wave generator does not "cost" more energy. Nor does it defy physics' conservation of energy. Yet, in this case, amplification of current occurs while voltage retains a zero status within the body of each inductor.

Induction	which is given	a restraint a limit	s deing defied, n	tion For it is no	MICHAEL FARADAY S LAW OF
through a	magnetic field	in order to manifes	st current inside	of that coil. Pure	ely reactive impedance, dev
resistive in	mpedance, is a	satisfactory replac	ement.		
Frequency examples.	v over time is e	quivalent to motion	n through space.	Magnetic flux,	or it's analog, is rotating in
The ampli frequency conservati energy. An definition.	tude of a voltag of a low voltag on of energy ha nd Michael Fara	ge source is not the ge source is anothe as not been defied, aday's Law of Inde	e only option ava r, because frequ because conser action has simpl	ailable for supply ency is a potenti vation includes t y been expanded	ying an energy input. The al form of energy. This is w both kinetic and potential fo l to include a more pervasiv
homework	a-and-exercises	solid-state-physics	temperature	superconductivity	/ low-temperature-physics
Share Cite	e Improve this o	question Follow	edited Jan 6 at 1	7:34	asked Jan 5 at 16:19
Comm Add a con	ents are not for ex	ttended discussion; th	is conversation ha	s been <u>moved to c</u> h	<u>aat</u> . – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37
Comm Add a con W E r	ents are not for ex	ttended discussion; th	is conversation ha	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted	hat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37
Comm Add a con WE There are achieved a	ents are not for ex nment high temperatu at $T=25~{ m C}$ wi	ttended discussion; th re superconductor: th an alloy.	is conversation ha	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted eme pressures A	hat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37 by: Highest score (default) superconducting state was
Comm Add a con W E r There are achieved a Share Cite	ents are not for examment high temperatu at $T=25~{ m C}$ with the second seco	ttended discussion; th re superconductor: th an alloy. answer Follow	is conversation ha s but under extre edited Jan 6 at 3	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted eme pressures A	aat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37 by: Highest score (default) superconducting state was answered Jan 5 at 17:16
Common Add a com WER There are achieved a Share Cite	ents are not for examment high temperatu at $T=25~{ m C}$ with the second seco	ttended discussion; th re superconductors th an alloy. answer Follow	is conversation ha s but under extre edited Jan 6 at 3 Buzz 13.2k • 1	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted eme pressures A 38 4 • 36 • 52	aat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37 by: Highest score (default) superconducting state was answered Jan 5 at 17:16 Jun Seo-He 1
Common Add a com Wer There are achieved a Share Cito 1 "A sup 11:49	ents are not for examment high temperatu at $T=25~{ m C}$ wi e Improve this a	ttended discussion; th re superconductor: th an alloy. answer Follow	is conversation ha s but under extre edited Jan 6 at 3 Buzz 13.2k • 1 25C with an alloy.	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted eme pressures A 38 4 • 36 • 52 ' A reference woul	aat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37 by: Highest score (default) superconducting state was answered Jan 5 at 17:16 Jun Seo-He 1 d be helpful. – my2cts Jan 6 a
Common Add a com WET There are achieved a Share Cite 1 "A sup 11:49 Superc	ents are not for example the second state $T=25~{ m C}~{ m wirdson state}$ erconducting state	ttended discussion; th re superconductor: th an alloy. answer Follow e was achieved at T=1 <u>aperature 15 C and pr</u>	is conversation ha s but under extre edited Jan 6 at 3 edited Jan 6 at 3 Buzz 13.2k • 1 25C with an alloy.	s been <u>moved to ch</u> Sorted eme pressures A 38 4 • 36 • 52 ' A reference woul	aat. – Buzz ♦ Jan 6 at 3:37 by: Highest score (default) superconducting state was answered Jan 5 at 17:16 Jun Seo-He 1 d be helpful. – my2cts Jan 6 a ob ♦ Jan 6 at 20:53

PHYSICS	COMPANY	STACK EXCHANGE NETWORK	Blog Facebook Twitter LinkedIn Instagram
Tour	Stack Overflow	Technology	
Help	Teams	Culture & recreation	
Chat	Advertising	Life & arts	
Contact	Collectives	Science	
Feedback	Talent	Professional	
	About	Business	
	Press		
	Legal	API	
	Privacy Policy	Data	
	Terms of Service		
	Cookie Settings		
	Cookie Policy		Site design / logo © 2022 Stack Exchange Inc; user contributions licensed under <u>CC BY-SA</u> . rev 2022.12.9.43105