

Now that a majority understand green energy can't meet demand and is the main cause of inflation driven by ideology not science, will we see more nuclear power?




Vin Yasi

Self-taught hobbyist at Electrical Engineering (2013–present) · Author has 285 answers and 304.7K answer views · Wed

Maybe green energy can't meet demand due to its immature development? Maybe, given enough time, it will blossom into something wonderful?

One budding perspective is the use of electrical reactance to boost performance so as to reduce our dependency upon any source of energy and, thus, conserve its use to reduce our footprint. Then, it won't matter too much what source of energy we end up using since we won't be obliged to use too much of it regardless of what type of energy source it is. This will free us up from thinking and acting irrationally as if we had run out of time to patiently make up our minds and refuse to make hasty decisions.



This is a [segregated analysis](#) of each electrical component within [Pavel's generator](#) in an attempt to discover if there is any inversion of voltage or current which may indicate the generation of *free voltage* or *free current*. If any of these inversions are found, then this means that the electrical components which are hosting these inversions are no longer passive components (according to [passive sign convention](#)), but have become *active components* without assistance from *any prime mover*.

By definition, a prime mover is an energy source which *has to* inject its energy into a device from *outside* of that device. This conventional requirement, which we make upon *all of our appliances*, makes it possible for us to deny whether the colloquialism known as *free energy* and [overunity](#) actually exists. But as you can see, at least in theory, *Conservation of Energy is restricted in its scope applying exclusively to situations that require a prime mover to supply all of a device's power without any generation of additional power arising from the device, itself*. This, of course, is a rule of thumb – not a rule of Law, since amplifiers are well-known instruments of magnification.

Herein, [this simulation](#), is no exception. Amplifiers are by no means non-existent. To insist that amplifiers also be under-achievers is nothing less than a straitjacket of conformist domination made upon *free thought* and *free action* among *free people*.

An afterthought ...^[1]

Any quantity of change to both input voltages of each SolarPanel+SinewaveGen1+2 in any of the circuit simulations of Fig. 13a, Fig. 13b, Fig. 14a, Fig. 14b and Fig. 15 results in the squaring of their results. This is in conformity to the [square-cube law](#) and suggests that *energy* is a manifestation of the surface area of some object or phenomenon or both? So, if both input voltages are increased by a factor of three, then their resulting outputs will be increased by a factor of nine. Or, if their input voltages are decreased by a factor of one-third, then the outputs of their circuits will decrease by a factor of one-ninth.

Of course, solar panels could be replaced by batteries since the input voltage is merely 1&1/2 volts for each sine wave generator, voltage source. And each of these two "sources" are putting out a frequency of 190Hz. The batteries can be swapped out and replaced with freshly recharged batteries while the spent batteries will be getting themselves recharged using a tiny portion of the output of this scheme. So long as the batteries don't wear out, this scheme will provide unlimited energy from nowhere existing outside of this setup, but coming from within this device itself.

Footnotes

[1] [Free Energy does not Exist - Wikiversity](#)

36 views

[View 11 other answers to this question](#)

About the Author



Vin Yasi

Self-taught hobbyist at Electrical Engineering 2013–present
 AA from Santa Monica, CA Graduated 1991
 Lives in Southern California 1957–present
 440.5K content views 12.2K this month
 Active in 15 Spaces
 Knows English

More answers from Vin Yasi

[View more](#)

[Has anyone tried to recreate Joseph Newman's perpetual motion machine?](#)

13,529 views

[Does blood electrification work?](#)

938 views

[Can you live off of fruit?](#)

144,397 views

[Are perpetual motion machines possible?](#)

2,229 views

[What is the difference between a disease and an addiction?](#)

770 views