Does Michael Faraday's Law of Induction concern itself with the conversion (consumption / conservation) of alternating (A/C) power or with the generation of alternating power?

I think it is the former. The latter condition of the synthesis (generation) of power is a standing wave which cannot dissipate, ie. it cannot exit the circuit as: heat, or light, or mechanical motion of a coil unless it is first converted. But for this conversion to occur, it can no longer exhibit a standing wave pattern in which its phase of voltage and its phase of current are diametrically opposed in polarity (with 180° of separation between them). Instead, in order for conversion to occur, it must realign the phase polarities of its voltage and current to exhibit a maximum power factor to manifest, and maximize, the output of this conversion of power. Otherwise, the standing wave pattern will force a constant build up of reactive power which will, eventually, destroy the circuit which hosts this condition.

Hence, Michael Faraday's Law of Induction is a narrow niche, for it merely defines the consumption of power while ignoring its generation.

Energy is always moving, ie. undergoing changes, but standing waves of energy do not move. Their energy moves, yet their wave pattern does not move.

It is only a moving wave pattern which can be conserved. Everybody is so focused on the conservation of energy while ignoring the non-conservation of their standing waves. For, it is their patterns, not their energy, which defines the consumption or the generation of power.

Here is the data in the format of screenshots of the simulation of a circuit in Micro-Cap – which is a flavor of Berkeley SPICE authored by Spectrum-Soft...























Nodal Voltages for X1 subcircuit, macro (Micro-Cap).



Node X1.10 tells us if this spark gap is ON if it equals ~10V. If ~10nV, then OFF. 14

1.00	1	nodiodes2	2d2_ON_X1.CIR	1	
1 00					
0.00	29.40	58.80	88.20	117.60	147.
_		Left	Right	Delta	Slope
∐V(X1.R1)*I(X1.R1)		0.000	0.000	0.000	INF
T (Secs)		144.929	144.929	0.000	1.000
30.00T					144.929,21.382T
0.00T	 29.40	 58.80	88.20	117.60	
		Left	Right	Delta	Slope
<u> </u>		21.382T	21.382T	0.000	INF
T (Secs)		144.929	144.929	0.000	1.000
16.00			 	 	<u> </u>
-64.00	20.40			117.60	147
0.00	29.40	Loft	Dight	Dolta	Slope
□V(X1 R3)*I(X1 R3)		0.000	0.000		
T (Secs)		144 929	144 929	0.000	1 000
0.00n _F					
0.000					İ
0.00	29.40	58.80	88.20	117.60	147.
		Left	Right	Delta	Slope
∐V(X1.R4)*I(X1.R4)		6.400n	6.400n	0.000	INF
I (Secs)		144.929	144.929	0.000	1.000
15.00			Λ/		
0.00	29.40	58.80	88.20	117.60	147.
		Left	Right	Delta	Slope
□V(X1.10) (V)		9.583	9.583	0.000	INF
T (Secs)		144.929	144.929	0.000	1.000

Nodal Voltages for X2 subcircuit, macro (Micro-Cap).

The following figures, FIG. 25 and FIG. 26, demonstrates how power is generated most of the time. Only occasionally does it react in the opposite (positive) direction to absorb, convert, and get rid of, this excess energy by spiking in the positive polarity once in a while.

So, causality has not been broken if we examine all of the components of a circuit over the entire duration of its run-time!

