

# How is it possible for the Sun to contain enough material to be able to keep burning for millions of years? How much longer can our Sun keep on burning and when it burns out will that be the end of humanity?

20<sup>th</sup> July 2022

The Sun does not run off of nuclear power. It runs off of reactive power (electrical reactance).

Nor is the Sun a solid mass. It is a hollow planet whose shell is composed of Calcium Ferrite<sup>1</sup> which sends lightning bolts upwards into its ionic atmosphere composed of two layers of ionized material: neon and silicon plasma. Thus, it is the plasmic atmosphere surrounding this hollow planet which we see and what heats us and gives our plants the energy they need to grow and prosper.

At the center of this hollow planet is an unlit plasma (an ionized gas, or mixture of gases, which have not become a plasma similar to an ionic channel laid out prior to the formation of a lightning bolt; also, the black sun known to the Nazi mystics as the *Schwarze Sonne*<sup>2</sup>) which provides all of the reactive “fuel” if we may call it that. It makes the lit plasma, which is exterior to this hollow spherical body, actively lit, and can -thus- be considered its catalyst.<sup>3 4 5</sup>

And if the electrical load, namely: the planets and other celestial bodies which orbit our Sun, should ever become completely destroyed or partially reduced, then the electrical load will become imbalanced in favor of our Sun blowing up. And if the electrical imbalance should ever tip in the opposite direction, namely: too much electrical load in the format of too much electrical potential stored within too many spherical bodies placed into orbit around our Sun, then our Sun would dim or wink out of service altogether.

When the planet, whose orbit used to be positioned in between the orbits of Mars and Jupiter, broke apart, it became necessary to replace that electrical load with the Earth.

The Earth was hauled into our Solar System from the Pleiades — along with all of its current population of cetaceans, onboard — to replace the missing electrical load vacated by the defunct planet (which has become converted into the asteroid belt positioned between Mars and Jupiter).

So,...

It is not a question of possessing enough material within our Sun to drive a nuclear reactor. It is a question of the Sun continuing to be a hollow, spherical capacitor (i.e., condenser) to serve as one plate of a multi-plated capacitor. This Sun registers a potential (i.e., voltage difference) which exists between itself and all of the major celestial bodies in the entire universe. This measurement (perspective of a voltage difference) is skewed in favor of itself (our Sun) and any celestial body of similar dimension which is closest to it. This would be the star triad known as: Alpha Centauri A, B and C.<sup>6</sup>

The total potential of existence is stored within the entire existence of empty space. Yet, any two celestial bodies will only register the potential among/between themselves (each other).

Voltage is transferred (shared) among all celestial bodies in a manner which is exactly equivalent to how the two plates (which lay on either side of a dielectric material) register the total potential which is

1 <https://TheSurfaceOfTheSun.com/>

2 [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black\\_Sun\\_\(symbol\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Sun_(symbol))

3 <http://vinyasi.info/mhoslaw/Provisional%20Patent%20Application%20-%2063221840.pdf>

4 <https://www.amazon.com/dp/B098HBJSMY>

5 <https://www.amazon.com/dp/B098GM2LMQ>

6 <https://www.thoughtco.com/closest-stars-to-earth-3073628>

stored within the dielectric material of a capacitor. The difference is that all of space is a singular dielectric entity. See, Nikola Tesla's invention of the vacuum tube, variable capacitor.<sup>7</sup> [ h t t p s : / / i s . g d / t e s l a c a p ]

This is how nearly instantaneous communication occurs throughout the physical universe. Since voltage does not travel, its appearance — as a stored potential within all of empty space — bypasses the speed of light limitation which is exclusively placed upon electromagnetic phenomena. This speed limit does not include electrostatic phenomena.

It is not surprising that there is a speed limit to electromagnetic waves since the magnetic field quickly drops off, and practically disappears altogether, over very short (celestial) distances. This was discovered back in the 1880s when the trans-Atlantic telegraph cable was laid and messages failed to reach across the Atlantic ocean. Since electricity is not a singular entity (its foundation is completely dependent upon a timely union between the electromotive and magnetomotive forces), any timely retardation of either the electric field or the magnetic field relative to the other field will destroy that electrical telegraph, or any other signal, or transfer of electric power. This destruction starts out as a delayed signal and grows into no signal reaching the other end of the telegraph cable.

This speed limit to electromagnetism, thus, confines electromagnetism to the orbital shell of the valence electrons of an atom. This is where the electromagnetism will be strongest. This is why it appears that voltage (the electromotive force) dominates the universe since it possesses no impedance to its storage throughout the entire empty space of Creation. This is why the magnetomotive force is confined, for the most part, to the valence electron shells of all material existence. This makes current (almost) an exclusively localized phenomenon.

One consequence, of this perspective, is that Ohm's Law needs to be rephrased as...

Voltage is squared and then it is divided by Resistance (within a framework of; or, over a period of) time if this Law is expected to be fully accurate and not merely a convenience of the technician in the field who is trying to take measurements and doesn't want to be bogged down with a laborious statement that current is actually the reactive voltage which changes over time due to an applied voltage. This (more complicated, but more accurate version of Ohm's Law) replaces the more familiar...

Voltage times Current equals Power.

So,...

Current is mathematical shorthand for voltage divided by resistance over time.

If, and when, our Sun should suddenly or gradually terminate its career, it won't be due to a lack of nuclear material. It will be due to a sudden and/or extreme imbalance. Managers of the electric utility grid call this procedure... "balancing the load". It is their job to make sure that the electric grid does not possess too much total power, nor too little. They have to take all forms of power into account... all reactive power as well as all real power. Otherwise, something may blow up or brownouts or blackouts may occur.

Since Jupiter is gradually getting larger, it will eventually become a second Sun making our Solar System into a binary star system. This will affect the total balance of electrical power throughout our Solar System and will require an equal and opposite counter-measure to insure our survival.

**Comments...**

**You're mad!**

---

<sup>7</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vacuum\\_variable\\_capacitor#Invention](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vacuum_variable_capacitor#Invention)

I would be if I took the delusions of physics as if they were a personal affront to my sensibilities...

Unfortunately, they are an affront to the collective semi-consciousness of mankind making them a pandemic mind-virus!...making all who believe in these delusions mentally imbalanced and very unsavory in body and in mind.

Don't get me wrong...

The naturalist who formulated classical theories had a lot more going for himself since he kept it simple and grounded those theories, exclusively, in his observations.

But electrical reactance upends everything which we have cherished for centuries of speculations on how the universe operates.

It's unsettling at first, but becomes easier to accept once the momentum of the alteration of your brainwashing becomes habit forming. Only then, will it become something you will look forward to for new awakenings to arrive at your mental doorstep to challenge your cultural biases still further than they have been challenged, already.

Thanks for responding...

God bless!

*You're quite right.*

*Anyone who says things against general principles of religion called 'Science' are all mad people.*

**I'm sure he's a well-meaning person with eccentric ideas, I was just having fun. You know the way British scientists in the old days would say things like "Poppycock!" and "You're mad!"**

**"At the center of this hollow planet is an unlit plasma"**

**It's called Hydrogen!!**

**"It makes the lit plasma, which is exterior to this hollow spherical body, actively lit, and can -thus- be considered its catalyst."**

**Catalyst is here on earth only—————**

**It's called Oxygen !!!**

**When molecular hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) are combined and allowed to react together, energy is released and the molecules of hydrogen and oxygen can combine to form either water or hydrogen peroxide.**

**Given the energetics, there is a strong thermochemical bias for the production of water over hydrogen peroxide when H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> are reacted together. For instance, when hydrogen gas is burned in the presence of oxygen, a large amount of energy is released and water is produced as the major product.**

**Hence Sunlight and Rain !!!!**

As a line of reasoning, what you are proposing is consistent with itself. Hence, it sounds plausible.

Yet, I am proposing a different line of reasoning which is consistent with what I learned studying spark gaps which have been modified to become power stations...

<http://vinyasi.info/mhoslaw/Oops.pdf>

Or...

*Oops! How I Goofed Simulating Overunity Circuits on a 32-bit Computer...*

*Sorry about my previous five years of circuit simulations. They were designed on various 32-bit computers which made them unstable and it was very easy to produce overunity. Yet, they lacked credibility and put into question whether they were buildable. No...*

<https://payhip.com/b/0zqG4>

Or...

*Oops!: How I Goofed Simulating Overunity Circuits on a 32-bit Computer...*

*Conventional wisdom conveys the ideology that the electrical reactance of a circuit offers impedance against the input voltage. But the opposite perspective is also true in which the input voltage offers impedance to the electrical reactance of the circuit, because the circuit would like to create its own energy in the form of reactive power but cannot if the input voltage fights it with sufficient strength...*

[https://read.amazon.com/kp/embed?](https://read.amazon.com/kp/embed?asin=B09Z97FRRT&preview=newtab&linkCode=kpe&ref_=cm_sw_r_kb_dp_EE99E01CR6C8BR0BJHXJ)

[asin=B09Z97FRRT&preview=newtab&linkCode=kpe&ref\\_=cm\\_sw\\_r\\_kb\\_dp\\_EE99E01CR6C8BR0BJHXJ](https://read.amazon.com/kp/embed?asin=B09Z97FRRT&preview=newtab&linkCode=kpe&ref_=cm_sw_r_kb_dp_EE99E01CR6C8BR0BJHXJ)

What I learned is that a spark gap need not turn ON. If the voltage is out of phase with the current by one-half cycle (180 degrees of angular displacement), then this unlit spark gap will be sufficient to turn coils (located, nearby) into electrical generators without the need to move these coils through a magnetic field and, thus, not require any support from Michael Faraday's Law of Induction.

This is a condition which bypasses this Law by founding itself upon some other Law which physics and electrical engineering has conveniently overlooked.

Thus, both subjects are incomplete in assessing nature and not in some manner which is incomprehensible to a high school student who is majoring in the sciences. In other words, this is not rocket science.

**Leave salt outside in the rain. Chases evil away...**

\*\*\*\*\*

**I don't believe that the core is of calcium ferrite...**

I have felt that all spheroidal and ellipsoidal objects in the universe are hollow since the 1990s when I first understood this to be so. It's a question of mother nature saving on materials just like the stem of mint plants are hollow. And it hearkens back to Buckminster Fuller and his arches. It just saves on materials to have an arch end in a circle or sphere or ellipsoid in order for it to be that shape on the outside. Otherwise, it would be the shape of a rock. This also includes the congregation of stars in a galaxy. If they are any kind of roundness in shape, then that congregation is probably hollow in the center of their grouping. As I recall, one of the moons of Jupiter literally looks like a rock and probably is solid and not hollow.

But it was when I saw the movie tornado (or no; excuse me; it's called *Twister* with Helen hunt and a few other people) that I realized that it's not entirely hollow. The center does not possess a solid object but possesses a kind of energy or something or other and from my work with spark gaps I realized it's a pre-energized unlit gaseous cloud that is on the verge of lighting up and if it did light up, then the whole spheroidal object would explode since the outside is already ionized into a plasma state. But it does not light up and -thus- preserves the integrity of that object in space in most cases most of the time except for the few exceptions in which we know of stars blowing up and this is probably why.

**Is it really the eye of a twister or hurricane absent of force? At the center of the rotation, there**

## **isn't a force upwards? like the so called galactic jets?**

There is a force there. That's why there is also a pre-ionized gas there in the center of an empty planet or hollow star. It's just the right type of force more importantly than the quantity of a force just as you say an "upwards force" because that's information which is a signal that guides the external dynamics of the vortex.

The external vortex contains most of the quantity of the force of the vortex while the center of the vortex doesn't have a whole lot of quantity but just enough to embody the information that's needed to guide the exterior portion of the vortex.

So, a planet or a star is a three-dimensional vortex!

The rationale to help support the idea of an empty, hollow star – just like the planets – is because there's not much difference between them. Astronomers have already conceded that Jupiter is on the way of becoming a star. So, why should it change its internal structure just because it's becoming more dynamic!?

**But look, there is a force because a hurricane is open at the top. If you confine a hurricane motion over the three directions (all forces are canceled) you will have a sphere, with absolutely nothing in the middle. This is why the sun is hollow, and the earth also. Earth must have a star in its middle, like a little sun, and this star also is hollow, it's a black hole type deal at the core, a drain to the counter-space.**

**So the force at the longitudinal axis is present only when the system is unbalanced... asymmetrical... it's not the same at all directions. This way, the hollow center jumps and dissolves amid the turbillon [turbulence] of force.**

**The void in the middle, or the no-thing of the black hole, is created only, and really only, all the forces are canceled over the three directions. This forms the sphere or corpuscle that aether manifest as "material". It becomes sort of material because aether condenses over certain conditions.**

**"confine a hurricane motion over the three directions (all forces are canceled)"**

..."all forces are canceled" and the potential of force has its orientation of polarization in opposition to the orientation of the actualization of this force. Hence, real (actual) power is canceled. Yet, reactive (potential) power is not.

Yes..., if not for the separation between the inside of a star or planet and its outside (due to the shell in between them), there would be no cancellation of real power and there would be no opposition of polarity between the potential of force (manifest as voltage) and its actualization (manifest as current).

But consequently, with this cancellation, current travels back to its potential rather than away from it. But this only happens in the center of the planet. And this why it is important that this center never become "lit" (ionized as a plasma) since it is already generating reactive power with the potential for this generation to be unlimited so as to meet whatever need (electrical load) may arise within the domain of its orbiting planets (loads). If it were to become lit (plasmic), then it would suddenly become manifest as an unlimited generator of real power and, thus, detonate itself. This warning is important, since (I feel and deduce) that this is why Maldek blew itself up becoming an asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter. Because I was stupid and naïve enough, as a crazy scientist in a previous incarnation, to never suspect that the enhancement of drainage (by the enhancement of the opposition of the polarized orientation of potential versus its actualization as current) – artificially placed (a manmade condition) upon the dielectric of counter-space (within the center of Maldek) by my motives actualized by the political acumen of a previous incarnation of John F. Kennedy – could be reversed by

subsequent individuals who decided to pump more actualization into the center of Maldek and, thus, reorient its potential into an alignment with its actualization and, thus, *encourage* its detonation! They had neither the acumen, nor the foresight, to properly assess the danger they were playing (aka, fooling around) with. This is because they had neither the acumen, nor the foresight, to invent this procedure in the first place.

This is why inventors, alone, are both responsible for their inventions, and the only ones who are capable of managing them. The population at large – who inherit these inventions – have no idea (in many cases) what forces (for good or evil) they have inherited from the inventor. This is why education is more important than the commercialization of an invention. This is why I spend so much time with talking about free energy rather than building anything. The public has to *own* an invention in their awareness before they can be permitted to inherit it because it will be their subsequent generations who will be blessed, or cursed, with its management.

\*\*\*\*\*

On the outside, current travels away from potential. This is why the Sun is lit up throughout its outer periphery as a plasma atmosphere due to lightning bolts arising from the surface of the Sun carrying the conversion of potential (into its actualization as current) away from the center of the Sun. And these lightning bolts are directly underneath another manifestation of this “carrying away this conversion of potential”, namely: solar flares.

From the outer surface of the Sun, and extending outwardly within the orbits of the planets, and outside of these planets, force is dissipated (according to the conventional rules of thermodynamics) since potential is in alignment with its actualization as current (movement) within this domain. But inside of the Sun, and inside of its orbiting planets, potential is in opposition to its actualization. This is what constitutes the electrical definition of a “black hole” superseding the definition given to us from physics. Its “blackness” is not due to excessive gravity, but due to its square root of negative one predicate. Or put another way, its blackness is predicated upon the excessive basis for its gravity since this excess basis is vindication of its unlimited scope of energy, namely: free energy is predicated upon the blackness of the complex domain of any attempt to enumerate (ie, measure or quantify) the imaginary (square root of the negation) of real power, ie reactive power.

It is only the wave guide of a condition of boundaries, namely: the boundary condition at the surface of a conductive wire, or the dielectric in between two or more plates of a capacitor, or the shell of a hollow planet or a hollow star, which makes the manifestation of real power (by way of its conversion from reactive power) possible on the outside of that planet or star. A hurricane has no boundary separating its inside from its outside, so its center is “caught up” in the motion of its outer periphery maintaining the alignment between potential and its actualization within both domains of its inside and its outside. This makes hurricanes the byproduct of some other set of actualized forces rather than a generator of force such as the Sun and its planets manage to be. For, it is only when the inside of a planet or a star is purely electrically reactive that they become a generator of electrical reactance due to their taking advantage of the potential of force stored within the dielectric of empty space. This storage is evenly, and equally, distributed throughout the vastness of empty space since this dielectric medium is a singularity of dielectricity. It is the shells of the hollow planets and stars which creates a localized non-singularity of the actualization of this storehouse of potential erupting as a localized phenomenon of limited gravity, light, heat, magnetism, etc.

Reactive power is a **“drain to the counter-space”** when the potential of reactive power is polarized in opposition to its actualization as movement (current). And, there is no way we can “measure” nor “speculate” how much potential is contained within counter-space. It could be infinite, or its *drainage* could be flexible to accommodate any actual need which may arise at the local level of space?

\*\*\*\*\*

There is another consequence to the public not “owning” an understanding of an invention...not only is mismanagement possible, but the loss of the invention is another consequence.

Take the Ammann brothers for instance. We lost their invention, and one of the brothers was arrested on charges of having “stolen energy from the utility grid” the minute he stepped within the jurisdiction of Washington, D.C., all because we didn't have the greatness of a Steinmetz to analyze their invention so as to “steal” it. This is what Steinmetz did on behalf of General Electric. So, we have General Electric's theft of Tesla's AC motor and generator patents to thank for our public ownership of these inventions of Tesla. But we don't have the other inventions of Tesla which came after the patenting of his AC motors and generators unless they are reinvented by someone else.

[Why do Americans think Tesla was a genius? A good technically clued up engineer who saw the advantages of polyphase AC over DC, but his other inventions were mere curiosities or simply didn't work, and that's it. No genius IMHO.](https://qr.ae/pvkhyr) – [<https://qr.ae/pvkhyr>]

Hallelujah for thieves!

\*\*\*\*\*

When we look at a black hole and see no light emanating from it, it is not due to some excessive gravity. It is due to the electromagnetic spectrum of light and other wavelengths have their polarity reversed with regard to their potential. *I do this more often than not within electrical simulators without regard to quantum mechanics!* Thus, all electromagnetism is directed inwardly toward their potential rather than away from that potential. The potential increases rather than decreases even if the other reference for potential (at the tail-end of the so-called flow of electromagnetism) should go to zero. This is because electromagnetism is not a consequence of potential. And electromagnetism is not attempting to change potential at the tail-end of electromagnetic waves. There is no causal relationship between potential differences and the electromagnetism between them. Potential differences and electromagnetism merely exists, simultaneously, as if they were two dancers engaging in a dance involving two sets of potential differences: one is the application of a potential difference while the second is its response reacting to the passage of time and impedance. Thus, Ohm's Law is not current times potential equals watts unless we wish to continue to turn a blind eye to the non-existence of quantum mechanics and relegate to a status of insignificance the truth to Ohm's Law established in: potential squared divided by resistance. For, quantum mechanics does not exist except as a curtain of intrigue whose intention is to steal credit for black holes, gravity and inertia, etc, from electrodynamic theory.

Hint: inertia is a material derivation from the non-changing status of space extending itself into its materialization as matter (matter is an extension of space; change is an extension of non-change). Some of the qualities of non-changing space are retained within the domain of constantly changing matter. And one of these qualities is the inertia of matter. But matter is not a quantum phenomenon. It is the addition of localization to the non-localized singularity of the dielectric property of space (aka, the Big Bang never occurred in some past-tense. It is *constantly* occurring.

There are so many mistaken ideas of quantum physics since its whole premise is mistaken.

Classical mechanics is not a mistake since it restricts itself to the macroscopic occurrence of mechanical motion. This is a group phenomenon similar to seeing a mirage in the desert. It appears to be very real from the standpoint of our five senses. And it is self-consistent due to its limited domain. And it does not contradict electrodynamics since electrodynamics does not arise from a macroscopic state of existence. Classical mechanics and electrodynamics are descriptions of two distinct realms; the former is open to our five sense while the latter is not and must be inferred.

\*\*\*\*\*

A neon bulb would have been a black hole had it not been for its linear arrangement of its two terminals much like the linear arrangement of a capacitor or a tubular coil of wire. A condenser is more like a hollow planet or a hollow star except that the difference is that a condenser has a connection to its inner terminal while a hollow planet and a hollow star does not. Instead, the inner node of a hollow star or planet terminates onto a floating node which is not connected to anything. But, connectivity of its inner node is not important. What is important is that this inner node gives a hollow celestial body its inward versus its outward orientation so that current can invert itself in opposition to whatever is the orientation of its potential and, thus, appear to be “black” from a vantage point located outside of these celestial bodies.

I suspect that the inner sun of a hollow planet or star is not lit and, yet, if conditions were favorable for living organisms to exist on the inner surface of a hollow planet, then I also suspect that a mild aurora borealis style of lighting lights up the atmosphere of the inner surface of hollow planets. Their closer proximity to the center of that planet would also increase the negative electric potential (by comparison to their neighbors living on the outer surface of that planet) and also decrease their gravitational pull towards the center of that planet (since their head to feet orientation is inverted upside down). So, they would be giants with sensitive eyesight living in a dimly lit world.

Only out of ignorance do we blindly accept *on faith* the prognosis from physicists that a black hole is caused by excessive gravity when the reverse is more likely: that excessive gravity is caused by the unlimited potential of negative resistance.

\*\*\*\*\*

### ***A Galaxy in a Bottle...***

[Eric Dollard - History and Theory of Electricity - YouTube](#)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TtHkDRuyZw&t=8250s>

<https://is.gd/bedoya>