(3) I'm going to soap box here... I squirm a little dance (inside myself) whenever people claim that "energy in has to equal energy out". That's a lie for want of accuracy. In other words, it's a broad generalization - as well as an ensuing misrepresentation - spawning confusion. The correct statement of the conservation of energy is not electrical - it's caloric: heat in equals heat out and that's the only correct statement of that law. But everyone misinterprets it and misapplies it. Why? Because they get away with it and nobody calls them on it. That hurts people... What for? Sangulani Maxwell Chikumbutso doesn't get to have a patent from the patent office of South Africa because they claim that he is violating the law of physics. Who is lying? His device is not caloric; it doesn't produce heat; it does not consume heat; it has nothing to do with heat. What's the problem? - Electrical Science

**Q <u>quora.com**/q/electricalscience/I-m-going-to-soap-box-here-I-squirm-a-little-dance-inside-myself-whenever-people-claim-that-energy-in-has-to-equal</u>

## <u>Vin Yasi</u>

<u>1h ago</u>

## About the Author



<u>Vin Yasi</u> Self-taught hobbyist at Electrical Engineering2013–present

ର୍

AA from Santa Monica, CAGraduated 1991

Lives in Southern California1957–present

Ø 87.5K content views15.1K this month

Retive in 4 Spaces

Mathematical Strength
Knows English

37 Followers

View More in Electrical Science

>