Proposition made to Various TV and Radio Stations in the San Diego Area: a Debate among my Wannabee "Peers"

I propose an open call-in debate between myself and an electrical engineer, with optional physicist, to discuss their theoretical understanding of what has colloquially been dubbed "free energy". For I hold that: the conservatives know about this, but call it something else (they call it reactance) and, consequently, proclaim it is useless – as in: "what's it good for?"

I hold it is a process, more so than a thing, in which a fixed quantity of usable energy is fragmented into its constituent components of two complimentary reactances (which are merely dependent upon their frequency and oblivious to their magnitude), then these two ingredients of electricity are either diminished or increased at our discretion using standard, off-the-shelf circuit components, then reassembled back into usable electrical power (again, using standard engineering techniques called: power factor correction). No magic here. And no perpetual motion here since perpetual motion is defined as being nothing enters yet something leaves, endlessly – in your dreams. ;–)

As an independent researcher who has not been formally trained in either electrical engineering, nor physics, but with countless hours over the past three years getting my hands-on training from various electronic simulators, such as: those based on the Berkeley SPICE model (which is the standard in the industry for crafting circuits before they're tested on the bench), I derive my conclusions which seem to defy public opinion. Yet, I consider it to be fraudulent to think that a five thousand dollar simulator, such as: Micro-Cap from Spectrum Soft, is capable of being "broken" by a mere hacker such as myself. Instead, I suspect that formally trained scientists are not trained to connect the dots, so to speak, such as I have done since I have no career to fear losing with commercial interests who want to desperately maintain the status quo – and for good reason: money would become worthless if this process of making energy freely variable became better known and understood – especially by the majority opinion of common sense in which all of us share.

My latest endeavor has been a battery extender for extending the range of electric cars beyond the limitations of their battery pack to limitless proportions. The only qualifying factor to determining their range per charge is the size, aka. the mass, of the coils in my device. This variable of copper coil mass is the only intrinsic factor regulating the output of my device while its input remains fairly steady at around a few pico watts of power. {BTW, a pico is scientific shorthand for one part per trillion of fractional units.}

I wouldn't attempt to patent this device since Sangulani Maxwell Chikumbutso, of Kenya, has already tried and failed to get a patent on his "aerial free energy" device in South Africa in which the patent office, there, claims that this defies the laws of physics.

Yet, I hold that the Law of the Conservation of Energy only holds for energy, not for reactance – the ingredients of electrical energy, since this law holds energy subject to a steady state of time and reactances are anything but synchronistically steady with each other inside of electricity.

{This synchronicity among the two fields of the electric and the magnetic fields of electricity represent electrical reactance in a combined state of electricity.}

But, whenever time shifts between the angular phase relations among the voltage and current components of electricity – especially a shift of one-half cycle of separation amounting to 180 degrees opposition between voltage and current, then the Conservation of Energy no longer holds by its own admission stated quite plainly in Noether's Theorem which defines this (legal) loophole to this law: when time shifts, this law does not apply. For energy is defined by this law in terms of the non-shifting

of time. Yet, any shift in phase relation between voltage and current – amounting to a shift in their temporal relationship, amounts to a violation of this law if not circumventing its relevancy. But, since this law is inviolate, then it is irrelevant whenever time shifts among the components of electricity (as well as energy in general).

I know this must be a mouthful for you to consider. I've tried to be as succinct as possible, yet as accurate as possible. I hope you seriously consider my proposition – not by taking my word for it; and certainly not by assuming I'm a flake with a crackpot idea up my gazoo; but by asking your resident scientist (which I'm sure you already possess to advise you of all things scientific before airing anything on your news programs having to do with science in general).

Thank you for your patience. I look forward to your response – whatever that may be.

Vinyasi

PS-Here is a compressed ZIP file containing one Micro-Cap simulation (text) file, plus various screenshots and Notepad (text) files...

http://is.gd/battext