

According to the incomplete information included in this short synopsis...

http://vinyasi.info/energy/synopsis.pdf

...energy, particularly electrical energy, is atomic as we know it since matter can convert into it. But I have my own model for the atom. It is...

... (1) **time**, (2) the **electro-motive force** (*EMF*) and (3) the **magneto-motive force** (*MMF*) as suggested to us by Eric Dollard. Knowing this, electricity can be synthesized and it can be decomposed. So, although we're told that energy IN equals energy OUT, it may not always be true if we recycle the subatomic elements of electricity within our live circuit without using atom smashers or nuclear devices. Then, energy OUT will equal the energy IN plus the amount of time we recycled the subatomic elements of

electricity which were already residing in a live circuit no matter how teensy those two forces are to begin with. It doesn't matter. For they can be recycled indefinitely to create any amount of electricity to reside in a circuit after enough time has passed to allow this buildup to occur.

Combine time with only one or the other force, and we get proto-atomic, or prehydrogen, elements Mendeleev wrote of in his unpublished data concerning his periodic chart ...

https://archive.org/details/cu31924012371096/page/n33

As the first reviewer on the following webpage points out...

http://tinyurl.com/chemaether2

... Mendeleev was afraid his ideas of lighter than hydrogen elements would not readily gain acceptance and might hamper acceptance of his whole data, so he left those elements out. That's why we don't generally know about them. We're also told that his idea has been refuted. By what? These lighter than hydrogen elements can't be measured. They can only be inferred from electrodynamic theory as coexisting to create power (measured as watts) since power is the combination of current and voltage in such a way that these two measurable values can't be separated from a live circuit's power.

So, EMF contributes towards a circuit's voltage, but is not the only contributing factor since a live circuit also contains current.

And MMF contributes towards a circuit's current, but is not the only contributing factor since a live circuit also contains voltage.

This is the atomic nature to electricity in which both EMF and MMF are simultaneously inside a live circuit and are generally considered to be inseparable.

Put another way, combine time with both EMF and MMF, and we get all of the other elements of the periodic chart beginning with hydrogen.

EMF and MMF are significant since they cannot be spent. They can only be reused. Every live circuit contains these embedded in the circuit's current and voltage (known as the electric and magnetic fields), but in a combined state. These two forces can be manipulated to recycle themselves in a circuit to make it look as if energy is appearing out of nowhere (which it isn't) or disappear into nowhere (which it can't; it is absorbed back into the environment or into the circuit or both). But, we can't measure this - we may only infer it - since we need all three subatomic elements to measure an element's

embodiment of electricity as an embodiment of standard theory of current flow, etc, which is atomic in our description of it and our analysis (measurement) of it.

This non-measurability to these two proto-atomic forces is probably why early scientists were tempted to call their existence: the ether, or aether, which - being non-measurable - requires faith in our theory to believe that something we can't measure in time could possible exist. For time, as we know it, includes the effect with the cause. It does not exclude one from the other.

But both forces are complete in themselves since each is the mirrored opposite of the other. This results in the ability for electricity to travel forwards in time (known as exhibiting positive resistance) or backwards in time (known as exhibiting negative resistance). And when current and voltage are out of phase by 180 degrees, then I know that electricity is traveling backwards in time towards negentropy (footnote # 1). When they're in phase with zero degrees of separation, then I know that electricity is flowing forwards in time towards entropy.

footnote # 1 https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/negentropy#Noun

So, if all we had was time combined exclusively with one or the other of these two forces - but not both at the same time, than all of the other atomic elements plus the opposing force not initially included, could be created if we allow for reactions to occur (and we know that Creation does allow for a reaction to action or else Sir Isaac Newton would not have stated so along with the Holy Bible and Eastern Scriptures {karma}).

Our electrodynamic theory allows for the existence of these two forces apart from each other, but combined with time, to account for the appearance or disappearance of electricity or else our simulators would not keep hinting at this reality (if we paid attention). In order to sweep these observations under the rug, we call these anomalies "numerical oscillations" or something similar to suggest that the simulator is dancing around us instead of being seriously accurate.

Two sub-atomic elements (time and one or the other force) are not adequate to create electricity as we generally know it. Yet, time can exist with only one or the other force since each force is the denial of the other.

In other words, EMF is the cause. It's reaction is MMF in time. And... MMF can also cause a reaction in time which is EMF.

Again... Both forces are complete in themselves since each is the mirrored opposite of the other. Time is the only additional factor required to bring each of these two forces into existence to serve as the building blocks of all matter and energy.

So, time is NOT a fourth dimension to spatial existence. It is one of the three subatomic elements of all matter beginning with hydrogen. Time is also the required building block to the proto-atomic elements of EMF and MMF which are not elements, but rather forces.

So, action without reaction is what these two forces, in their pure form, are all about. And, action with reaction in time is what the elements of the periodic chart - beginning with hydrogen and proceeding upwards - is all about - along with electrical behavior as we generally know it.

These forces can be manipulated by inducing a state in a circuit in which current and voltage are 180 degrees out of phase. This is known as a "negative impedance converter" - footnote # 2. Across a transformer, this segregates voltage dominating current on one side and current dominating voltage on the other side with current traveling in a negative direction from the side of the transformer with lower voltage towards the side with higher voltage - against our seasoned judgment! This backward motion is called negative resistance - footnote # 3 (a fluorescent lamp or neon bulb are good examples exhibiting this behavior).

footnote # 2

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Negative_impedance_converter

footnote #3

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Negative resistance

The only problem with overunity is that a load can wipe it out with the greatest of ease. In a simulated circuit, a torque load made upon a simulated motor can be represented by a current source placed into the circuit. This flattens out the overunity in my current endeavor. But here's the catch....

Tesla discovered a clue to how to get around this. I can't divulge this clue, even though I didn't discover this, it's public knowledge (just not generally known), due to my present endeavors to patent my design. But I have simulated this trick of Tesla and only now (2019), after a year, do I understand how to describe how to build its implementation translated from its simulation.

I use a flavor of Berkeley SPICE known as Micro Cap which is considered one of the standards in the industry. It's used by Manitoba University electrical engineering students.

http://spectrum-soft.com/

Tesla used his brain for a simulator. That's why he could do so much with so little. Only after thoroughly simulating his devices would he attempt to build one and it always worked successfully the first time, everytime, he built it with no need to monkey with it on the bench. That's been my attitude.

It's true...simulators do vary in their ability to replicate reality. That's why I started out my training on a simulator which made it easy to learn how to fashion overunity circuits - too easy, in fact, to continue beyond a certain point of boredom. So, I moved on to LTSpice and finally settled on Micro Cap. I'm happy I made the move since I can't get away with very much.

But I'd caution anyone from considering that maybe I'm taking advantage of Micro Cap's short comings since it costs over two grand and would make its creators look a little ridiculous if its builtin safeguards failed to stop electrodynamic fraud from occurring.

Trust me. It's not that easy fooling myself using Micro Cap if indeed that is what I'm doing. Only after getting my training on Paul Falstad's easier simulator could I move onto Micro Cap.

http://falstad.com/circuit/

I hope I didn't lose you with too much technicality since this is the only way to give an overview to what is missing in our theory.

Without this knowledge, how could anyone new to this subject understand free energy and overunity – without refutation.

