




Why Argue Against Free Energy, Claiming that it Violates some Law of Physics, when the Theory Supports it?




Free energy amounts to free volts. This is due to the negative wattage counterbalances the positive wattage and, thus, cancels any presumption that we have to pay for our use of energy. And if the negative wattage should be greater than the positive wattage, than an accumulation of voltage will occur.



All we need is the signal. Yet, the signal is provided by any well-constructed overunity circuit due to its internal oscillations which grow in amplitude of voltage over time.

An accelerated rate of the accumulation of voltage results from an increase of “negative resistance” and supports a larger load, but always at less than, or equal to, a zero cost in watts.



We normally don't think of voltage as an accumulation. We think of it as coming from a source. But voltage can amass inside of an overunity circuit without any source other than one or more precharged capacitors set to an initial condition (ie, $ic = ?V$) of one microvolt (equivalent to the background voltage in our environment) and wait for the voltage to self-amplify.

This is why we do not need to depend upon a "source" for our voltage to exclusively support our power supply. All we need is a marginal "source" to initiate a condition of accumulation of voltage and patiently wait. Or else, improve efficiency so as to avoid waiting too long.

I've seen demonstrations of overunity which take a considerable duration to accumulate voltage versus a nano second.