

My comment: At first, this appears to be a “wise crack”. But it’s actually useful since it accentuates a useful principle, the principle of synchronicity in an overunity circuit which I point out in my comment below his post.

My rhetorical question:


Can real power input be supplemented with reactive power output derived from the chemical bonds which hold the atoms (of a circuit) together up to the limit of their tolerance before disintegration takes place?

Someone's answer: Not without the proper flux capacitor. These are as rare as unobtainium.

My comment: Originally, I had a paragraph mentioning how “flux capacitors” was cited within the movie called, Back to the Future (which is probably what you’re alluding to?) ...

[Older version of my post: Coaxial Encasement of the Project Box](#)

I removed this reference since it’s not what Mark is talking about and it doesn’t tell us anything useful. Which is what your comment leads us to if not for my having already mentioned it by way of referring to Mark McKay’s little talk ...



[*EV Gray Motor/Mark McKay Research*](#)

In other words, the correct quotation of Mark concerning a “floating flux field” is useful for synchronizing the various coils of an overunity circuit so that triangular waves can manifest whose phase of voltage is one-half cycle out-of-phase with current fulfilling the passive sign convention’s definition of the generation of power (but, in this case) without the assistance of any significant prime mover since this type of anomaly only occurs under conditions of starvation ...

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324978006_Low_Frequency_Oscillations_in_Indian_Grid

So, your answer is useful to bring up mine now that yours gives it some context. Thanks!