Slightly Modified Konzen: Two Diodes at Two of the Six Ground Connections plus the Discovery that this Device is NOT OVERUNITY!

What a concept! Could it be true that Free Energy from the Aether is NOT OVERUNITY whenever inefficiencies are involved? Wow!

VINYASI

JUL 26, 2026

In an attempt to eliminate, or reduce, spiked waveforms on the input, it turns out that they're necessary to render overunity for this type of circuit. So, only a limited diodic restriction can be imposed on the six ground connections which involve three pairs of Earth batteries and ground rods, namely: merely one pair can suffer this restriction without obliterating the output's overunity.



the two additional diodes are circled in red

Sine wave input voltage sources will also kill the overunity. So, it's obvious that surges are where this circuit likes to hang out at.

This means that you can count on stressing the circuit since these spikes are very high in places. And this also ensures a safety hazard for anyone nearby.

But, it’s regulated to maintain a mathematically averaged output over the entire span of its 7ks (seven thousand second) runtime, down-below! That’s not bad!

Oh, well … Onward …

I’m amazed that the input spikes of amperage are so randomized when they occur over time. Yet, the overall average maintains itself as if it “knows” when to spike and when not to spike a jolt of amperage! This is depicted in the first graph, painted in red, at the top of the next screenshot.





The graph which is second from the bottom shows us the RMS average of instantaneous power derived from the energy measurement along with its plain average and its RMS average all of which equal each other.

The end-time quantity of 63 and 1/4 thousand watts is a bit diminished from one of the earlier editions of this circuit development which did not include these two diodes on a single pair of Earth battery and ground rod connections. The earlier edition I'm thinking of had a value of a little over 70kW without these two diodes. This was my target. But, I am showing you this version of my simulations of the Konzen Hunt for Free Energy without bothering to readjust its parameters to give the targeted outcome.

This diminishment of output shows that diodes can act as loads impeding the outcome which is a good test for the stability of this circuit's overunity as well as possibly adding a mild measure of safety.

We've all heard of "smart phones" and "smart meters" by now. Well, here's an example of a "smart circuit" which knows when to feed itself current from the Earth, and from within its "surge arresters", so as to maintain its design parameters.

You could almost say that a little *Artificial Intelligence* has been engineered into it. **;-)**

The following formulas were used for computing the voltage versus the average of RMS instantaneous power of the negative resistor within merely one of the two gas-filled, "surgearrester1" to demonstrate how much power is emanating from this singular component within the macro for this "neon bulb" of Micro-Cap under the influence of this circuit simulation over a span of two kiloseconds:

(SDT(V(MotorCont) * I(MotorCont))) / T

... to get the output RMS power at the Motor Controller, versus ...

(AVG(ABS(SDT(V(SURGEARRESTER1.R3) * I(SURGEARRESTER1.R3)) / T)))

... to get the input RMS power plus ...

(AVG(ABS(SDT(V(SURGEARRESTER1.R3) * I(SURGEARRESTER1.R3)) / T))) / ((SDT(V(MotorCont) * I(MotorCont))) / T)

... to get the COP of both.

These formulas use the SDT integration function as their predicate. And, then, they modify this predicate so as to convert their energy readout into RMS instantaneous power units of measurement.

By the way, this resistor possesses a resistance of negative one ohm, -1Ω.

Another by the way, this simulator tends to give slightly different readings whenever I “probe” a component versus whenever I run the simulation for all of the components. This is probably due to the fact that “probing” saves the data for all components onto your computer’s harddrive while running the simulation for all components temporarily saves the data in the computer’s short-term memory. I’m guessing that the latter choice’s dependency upon the temporary storage of memory in the computer is probably prone to slight discrepancies.

Another by the way, I used GEAR approximation method at a RELTOL of 0.6m.





So, you see, ***it's not overunity*** after all. In fact, ***it's underunity by a factor of 1 to 873!*** This is why I flipped my usual routine of dividing output by input into input divided by output (up-above) so as to give a nice curvaceous readout.

Yet, ***it is free energy*** since we don't have to pay much to garner so much power from the counter-space within a surge arrester! And the Earth is providing a minority of the payment for that cost while counter-space is providing the majority! You can't beat that!

I came upon a similar situation when I deduced what the input power was for the Joseph Newman device,[1] when simulated in LTSpice, in which a humongous quantity of input power was being wasted within the dielectric canister filled with helium and wrapped with an open coil in contrast to how little output power was appearing at its load.

But if it's for free, who cares? Most of this "wasted" power is self-shorted within its gas-filled discharge tube.

So, we have two factors contributing to our pervasive ignorance of "free energy" failing to violate physics:

> 1. Not hidden wires, nor hidden sources of energy, but "aetheric" sources of energy located in a counter-spatial realm which is not accessible with our measuring devices. Nor is it quantifiable with our logic since it would violate the logic of our mathematics if we attempted to quantify it.
>   a. So, it's not physics which is violated. It is mathematics and logic plus an inherent inability to quantify the aether.
> 2. A tendency for this vast gift of free energy to become self-shorted, once it reaches us in the physical plane, causing most of this gift to become wasted.

One could argue that I'm mixing physics with metaphysics.

You're darn right! **;-)**

Rudolf Steiner discovered that, in addition to "ordinary" space, negative space, or "counterspace", also exists, leading to a more holistic worldview. Steiner suggested that it was important to understand counterspace as a necessary supplement to the conventional approach. Science between Space and Counterspace relates the phenomena of our world to both space and counterspace, which leads to a new scientific understanding. If counterspace actually exists, then the resulting interplay between counterspace and "ordinary" space must be significant. This concept is applied to gravity, liquids, gases, heat, light, chemistry, and life. Each aspect

> involves a separate investigation, whereas the various threads begin to interweave and become a unified whole. A new concept of time, and indications for a new approach to relativity and quantum physics begin to emerge. Science between Space and Counterspace contains advanced mathematical and scientific proofs that the nonspecialist, general reader may find overly difficult.
>
> Goetheanum (Rudolf Steiner) – Thibaud Poirier

Download this circuit here.

---

1 Vin Yasi's answer to: "Has anyone tried to recreate Joseph Newman's perpetual motion machine?" — Quora